## Search Notes



| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination |
|-------------------------|---|
| 10723626                | PRATT ET AL.                            |
| Examiner                | Art Unit                                |
| JAMES H ALSTRUM ACEVEDO | 1616                                    |

| SEARCHED |          |      |         |
|----------|----------|------|---------|
| Class    | Subclass | Date | Examine |
|          |          |      |         |

| Search Notes   | Date                        | Examiner |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| PALM and WEST Searches   | 3/27/08                     | JHAA     |
| WEST Search  | 3/29/08                     | JHAA     |
| PALM Search  | 9/7/08                      | JHAA     |
| Patentability Conference with Sreeni "Paddy" Padmanabhan (SPE)   | 9/8/08                      | JHAA     |
| WEST Searches  | 9/8/08; 9/10/08;<br>9/26/08 | JHAA     |
| PALM & WEST Inventor Searches (attached)   | 5/5/09                      | JHAA     |
| PALM & WEST inventor searches (attached)   | 12/3/09                     | JHAA     |
| Discussed declaration with Mina Haghighatian (Primary) and we  | 12/3/09                     | JHAA     |
| concluded that the opinion declaration was unpersuasive.   |                             |          |
| PALM and WEST Searches   | 8/19/2010                   | JHAA     |
| Consulted with Dr. Ernst Arnold (Primary) and Mr. Michael Hartley (SPE) about suggested search terms for a prior art search of microbubbles comprising a biodegradable polymer.  | 8/21/2010                   | JHAA     |
| Consulted with Drs. Ernst Arnold (Primary) and Johann R. Richter, Esq. (SPE) about making 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph rejections of claim 22 and claims dependent therefrom due to the amendment of claim 22 to recite that the administered compositions have a specific gravity ranging from 1.0063 to 1.0075. Both Drs. Arnold and Richter agreed that it was proper to make the aforementioned rejections. Dr. Richter, Esq. also agreed it was proper to maintain the rejections based on Soon-Shiong ref. | 8/25/2010                   | JHAA     |

|       | INTERFERENCE SEA | RCH  |          |
|-------|------------------|------|----------|
| Class | Subclass         | Date | Examiner |
|       |                  |      |          |

| l l |  |
|-----|--|

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No.: 20100824